Cheat Sheet: generalized linear mixed-effects models

Measurement and Evaluation of HCC Systems

Scenario

Use linear mixed-effects models if you want to test the effect of several variables variables `varX1`, `varX2`, … on a binary outcome variable `varY`, where the *Y* and some of the *X*s are repeated measurements on the same unit (e.g. multiple conditions or time points per participant, multiple people per group, etc.). This cheat sheet assumes that `data` is in the long format, with a user `id` to tie together the repeated measures. (if it is not, use the `melt` function in the `reshape2` package to fix that).

Power analysis

- The power analysis for a linear mixed-effects model is beyond the scope of this course.

Plotting

- For plotting the effects of within-subjects manipulations, see the Plotting sections of the dependent *t* test and repeated and mixed ANOVA cheat sheets.
- For plotting linear effects, see the Plotting section of the regression cheat sheet.

Pre-testing assumptions

- For between-subjects factors, refer to Pre-testing assumptions in the ANOVA and/or factorial ANOVA cheat sheets.
- For linear effects, refer to Pre-testing assumptions in the regression cheat sheet.
- Note that unlike for repeated and mixed ANOVAs, sphericity is not assumed for linear mixed-effects models.
- If your *Y* variable is has nominal values (e.g. "A" and "B" instead of 0 and 1), you can turn it into a numeric variable by using `as.numeric(varY == "B")`.
- For error bars, use `mean_cl_boot` instead of `mean_cl_normal`

(optional) Preparing dummies and/or contrasts

- If one or more of your *X*s are nominal variables, you need to create dummy variables or contrasts for them.
- For simple dummies, refer to the regression cheat sheet.
- For contrasts, refer to the ANOVA cheat sheet.

Running the test

- Start with the simplest possible baseline:
  ```
  baseline <- glm(varY ~ 1, data=data, family=binomial)
  ```
- Then add the random intercept for id:
  ```
  random <- glmer(varY ~ 1 + (1|id), data=data, family=binomial)
  ```
- Test whether the random intercept is needed:
  ```
  anova(random, baseline)
  ```
  If this ANOVA is significant, you indeed need the random intercept. The χ^2-value and its *p*-value represent the significance of the random intercept.
- Introduce your first *X* variable:
  ```
  model1 <- update(random, .~. + varX1)
  ```
- (optional) Introduce another *X* variable (add more *X*s one by one if needed):
  ```
  model2 <- update(model1, .~. + varX2)
  ```
- (optional) Introduce interaction effects:
  ```
  model3 <- update(model2, .~. + varX1:varX2)
  ```
- Compare all models:
  ```
  anova(random, model1, model2, model3)
  ```
- (optional) Add a random slope for a within-subjects variable (interpretation: is the effect of varX1 different per id?):
  ```
  slope1 <- update(model3, .~. + (varX1|id))
  ```
- Compare with the previous model to see if this random slope improves the model:
  ```
  anova(model3, slope1)
  ```
  The χ^2-value and its *p*-value represent the significance of the random slope.
- Get the summary and confidence intervals of your final model:
  ```
  summary(slope1)
  ```
- For the correct interpretation of the coefficients, refer to the logistic regression cheat sheet.
- The only additional thing is the random intercept (which has an *SD*), and optionally the random slope (which also has an *SD*) and the correlation between the two. All these measures have a confidence interval as well.

Post-testing assumptions and inspecting outliers

- For post-testing assumptions and inspecting outliers, refer to the regression cheat sheet.

Reporting

- For random intercepts and slopes: The relationship between [varY] and [VarX1 and other within-subjects variables] showed significant variance in intercept across participants, $SD =$ x.xx (95% CI: x.xx, x.xx), $\chi^2(1) =$ x.xx, p = .xxx. In addition, the slopes varied across participants, $SD =$ x.xx (x.xx, x.xx), $\chi^2(2) = 38.87$, p = .xxx, the slopes and intercepts were significantly correlated, cor = .xx (.xx, .xx).
- For reporting further results, refer to the logistic regression cheat sheet. Note that there is no model R^2, and model comparisons are χ^2 tests.